Experiments on the dynamics and scaling of spontaneous-magnetic-field saturation in laser-produced plasmas
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In laser-produced high-energy-density plasmas, large-scale strong magnetic fields are spontaneously generated by the Biermann battery effects when temperature and density gradients are misaligned. Saturation of the magnetic field takes place when convection and dissipation balance field generation. While theoretical and numerical modeling provide useful insight into the saturation mechanisms, experimental demonstration remains elusive. In this letter, we report an experiment on the saturation dynamics and scaling of Biermann battery magnetic field in the regime where plasma convection dominates. With time-gated charged-particle radiography and time-resolved Thomson scattering, the field structure and evolution as well as corresponding plasma conditions are measured. In these conditions, the spatially resolved magnetic fields are reconstructed, leading to a picture of field saturation with a scaling of $B \sim 1/L_T$ for a convectively dominated plasma, a regime where the temperature gradient scale ($L_T$) exceeds the ion skin depth.
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Understanding the saturation mechanisms in magnetic field generation is a challenging undertaking essential to basic plasma physics. The generation, transport, and dissipation of spontaneous magnetic fields in plasmas are important physics issues fundamental to a large variety of frontier research areas from astrophysics [1,2] to laboratory plasmas [3–5]. In these issues fundamental to a large variety of frontier research areas, spontaneous magnetic fields in plasmas are important physics.

Plasmas produced by lasers impinging on solid material have high temperature and density and as a result are typically in the regime labeled high-energy density (HED), generally defined as pressure $> 1$ MBar. In a two-fluid plasma model, the dynamics of the large-scale spontaneous magnetic fields are described by combining the Faraday equation with the generalized Ohm’s law [5,18–20]:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \frac{c(\nabla T_e \times \nabla n_e)}{en_e} + \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{\eta e^2}{4\pi} \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is fluid velocity, $\eta \propto Z \ln \Lambda T_e^{-3/2}$ is plasma resistivity, and $n_e$ and $T_e$ are electron density and temperature, respectively. While several physics processes act as sources and sinks for spontaneous magnetic fields in plasmas, outlined in Eq. (1) are the dominant factors in the nonrelativistic hydrodynamic approximation. Authors of previous work [5,20] provided justification for ignoring terms related to high-intensity effects which manifest in short pulse ($< 100$ ps) lasers. The first term on the right in Eq. (1) is the previously mentioned Biermann battery source term. The sinks are due to fluid convection (second term) and the resistive dissipation (third term).

The saturation of spontaneous magnetic fields in plasmas results from balancing of these physical processes, leading to a quasi-equilibrium state where the global field ceases to grow. To evaluate their relative contributions, the resistive term compares with the convection term as $(\eta e^2/4\pi \nu L)$ [5,18–20] ($\sim 10^{-3}$ for low Z, 1 keV plasmas with length scale $= 1$ mm). The Hall term is excluded from Eq. (1), as it is small in comparison with fluid convection: $(\nu c/\nu_{\text{Alf}}) \ll 1$ (where the length scale can be $L \sim L_T = T_e/\nabla T_e$, the temperature scale length; $d_i = c/\nu_{\text{Alf}}$ is the ion skin depth). Because the plasma expansion velocities are supersonic and since $\beta \propto (c_\text{s}/\nu_A)^2 \gg 1$, the velocities are also supersonic, and thus, the Hall term is insignificant.

Theoretical modeling by Haines [5,20] and numerical simulation by Schoeffler et al. [18,19] laid a universal diagram of saturated magnetic field vs the normalized length scale, as illustrated in Fig. 1, indicating different physical regimes from the resistive ($L_T/d_i \lesssim 1$) to the convective ($L_T/d_i \gtrsim 1$) and a kinetic regime where kinetic instabilities contribute to field generation ($L_T/d_i \ll 1$). For typical HED plasmas, fluid convection dominates the structure and dynamics of the magnetic field when the normalized temperature gradient length scale $L_T/d_i$ is greater than unity. Considering the magnetic fields frozen in the plasma and convected with the plasma...
flow \( (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \) with an approximate expansion velocity, i.e., \( v \approx C_s \), the balance of convective loss with the generation of Biermann field leads to \([5,20]\)

\[
B = \frac{1}{L_T} \sqrt{\frac{m_e k T_e}{Z e^2}}.
\]

This scaling is consistent with predictions by particle-in-cell simulations \([18,19]\). While previous laboratory experimenters have observed magnetic fields and used simulations to argue the relevant mechanisms in field generation and transport \([8,11,21,22]\), no experimenters have systematically measured the scaling of normalized field strengths as a function of system length scale. Theoretical and numerical modeling have provided useful insight into the saturation mechanisms, but experimental demonstration remains elusive and largely as speculation. Among many difficulties still in controlled laboratory experiments, creating plasmas with the relevant parameter range and scales in a unique physics regime is an extremely difficult undertaking. In addition, diagnosing and quantitatively characterizing the plasmas and magnetic fields is quite challenging, requiring advanced techniques that were previously limited. Consequently, authors of previous work often focused on single-shot experiments, lacking a coverage of the spatially and temporally resolved dynamics of the saturated magnetic fields in a relevant physics regime.

In this letter, we report an experiment on the dynamics and scaling of the saturation of spontaneously generated magnetic fields in laser-produced, high-thermal-\( \beta \) HED plasmas. The experiments, using state-of-the-art diagnostics such as charged-particle radiography \([8,23]\) and time-resolved Thomson scattering \([24,25]\), have provided quantitative information to model the field saturation in convection-dominated plasmas.

The spatially resolved magnetic fields are numerically reconstructed from proton radiography data, leading to a quantitative physics picture of field saturation with a scaling of \( B \sim 1/L_T \) for a convectively dominated plasma, a regime where the temperature gradient scale length modestly exceeds the ion skin depth \( (L_T/d_i > 1) \).

The experiments were conducted on the 60-beam, 30-kJ OMEGA laser \([26]\). Figure 2 depicts the schematic experimental setup. The subject plasma is generated as laser drive beams impinge on a 25-\( \mu \)m-thick polystyrene (CH) foil. The 351 nm wavelength laser drive is in one of two configurations: 2 (or 1) TW of laser power distributed among four (or six) 500-J beams, with 1 (or 3) ns duration. Each beam utilized a phase plate to uniformly distribute the pulse energy over a super-Gaussian spot with radius 400 \( \mu \)m. An implosion-based charged-particle backlighter filled with a deuterium-tritium-helium-3 gas mixture (DT\( ^3 \)He) \([20]\) was driven with 40 of the beams. Relative timing between backlighter and the subject laser drives was adjusted to probe the subject at different times. Particles are produced over a duration of \( \lesssim 100 \) ps \([23]\), dictating the temporal resolution of the radiography system, and the probe times are chosen to be between 0.2 and 2.5 ns after the start of the subject drive. Figures 3(a)–3(c) show some of the monoenergetic charged-particle radiographs taken at different times with 3-MeV protons, 9.5-MeV deuterons, and 15-MeV protons, products of the \( d + d \rightarrow ^{2}H + p(3 \) MeV), \( t + ^{3}He \rightarrow ^{4}He + d(9.5 \) MeV), and \( d + ^{3}He \rightarrow ^{4}He + p(14.7 \) MeV) reactions, respectively \([23]\).

Shown in Figs. 3(d)–3(f), the corresponding spatially resolved magnetic fields are reconstructed with an advanced algorithm \([27–30]\), allowing for the quantification of the details of magnetic field distribution and strength. The algorithm solves for the optimal transport of the initial particle flux to the observed particle flux (as recorded by the radiograph) outputting a spatially resolved deflection field. Under the assumption that the dominant mechanism for deflection is the magnetic component of the Lorentz force, the magnetic field is then retrieved. This technique for interpreting...
FIG. 3. (a)–(c) Charged particle radiography data and (d)–(f) numerical magnetic field reconstructions from a representative shot (OMEGA shot 95103). Data are arranged in columns by particle species: (a) and (d) D$_3$He protons, (b) and (e) T$_3$He deuterons, and (c) and (f) DD protons. The units and colormap for the reconstructions are the same for all three reconstructions, which show the path-integrated magnetic field in MG·μm. Radiograph detectors are $10 \times 10$ cm squares, corresponding to a $4 \times 4$ mm field of view at the plasma subject and are normalized to the maximum measured particle fluence, which differs by particle species. The average particle fluences are $3.5 \times 10^4$/cm$^2$, $4.9 \times 10^3$/cm$^2$, and $1.3 \times 10^4$/cm$^2$ for the D$_3$He protons, T$_3$He deuterons, and DD protons, respectively. In the radiograph, dense (sparse) regions imply an accumulation (rarefaction) of particles which are interpreted into a spatially resolved map of particle deflections through an algorithm solving for optimal transport of the particles. The variation between magnetic field maps measured by each particle depends somewhat on time-of-flight evolution between particles and the degree to which deflections are not caused by magnetic fields. Scattering in plasma, for example, leads to the additional blurring in feature sizes, (c) and (f) particularly the DD-proton radiograph and reconstruction, (b) and (e) while low statistics contribute to the lack of feature sharpness in the T$_3$He deuteron radiograph and reconstruction. Because blurring from scattering in the DD-proton images is much stronger than in the other radiographs, the peak magnetic fields from DD protons are not used in the final scaling analysis but still provide corroboration of plasma bubble expansion velocity.

charged-particle radiography data is becoming commonplace [16,17,31–34].

It is important to point out that the self-generated spontaneous electric field in these proton images can be largely ignored. In earlier experiments, in which protons in a face-on geometry probed Biermann-generated fields simultaneously in both parallel and antiparallel directions [35–37], authors quantitatively demonstrated that the deflections of protons in a face-on probe geometry are insensitive to the electric field.

Several characteristic features shown in the reconstructed images include, first, the circulating Biermann fields which are concentrated in the edge of the plasma bubble, a consequence of the large temperature gradients occurring at the bubble edge, and second, that the fields are convected with the plasma bubble expansion, suggesting fluid convection dominating the field transport and indicating the physics processes described in Eq. (1) are sufficient to explain the data to the first order. Considering a typical particle probe pathlength approximately equal to the bubble shell thickness with $\sim 100$ μm, the peak values of the inferred magnetic fields from these images [Figs. 3(d)–3(f)] are extracted and plotted as a function of time in Fig. 4. It is shown that, in the period until $\sim 0.6$ ns, the magnetic field grows rapidly because of the quick plasma heating and large gradients while the laser drive is on. The continuous increase in plasma temperature and plasma bubble expansion leads to the formation of large temperature gradient ($\nabla T_e$) and density gradient ($\nabla n_e$), resulting in magnetic field generation ($\nabla n_e \times \nabla T_e$) which exceeds the field convection. In the meantime, the increasing temperature results in the reduction of the plasma resistivity, and therefore, the resistive dissipation of fields is negligible. At the time $\sim 0.6$ ns, the magnetic fields reach their peak value and turn to decay as the overshooting field is balanced by the field transport through convection. As the plasma bubble accelerates (up to $\sim 1000$ km/s, as seen in proton radiographs and FLASH hydrodynamic simulations [38,39] with parameters matching the experiment), the enhanced field convection balances the field generation in the times of $\sim 1.0–2.5$ ns, eventually reaching a quasi-equilibrium $[\nabla n_e \times \nabla T_e / n_e \sim \nabla \times (v \times B)]$, where the measured field strength saturates. The physics processes are taking place on hydrodynamic timescales (subnanosecond) consistent with convection mechanisms.
To quantify the spatially and temporally resolved plasma conditions, time-resolved 2ω Thomson scattering [8,25] measurements were conducted. In these measurements, the Thomson volume is a small cylinder \( \sim 100 \ \mu \text{m} \) long and 50 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter. Shown in Figs. 5(b) and 5(d) are the measured evolutions of electron temperature and density at different locations.

Furthermore, by performing Thomson scattering measurements at two selected locations separated by 500 \( \mu \text{m} \) distance perpendicular to the laser drive, the electron temperature gradient is directly measured. The values of the electron temperature and separation distance are used to extract the temperature gradient as a function of time, which is found to be 750 \( \pm 150 \ \mu \text{m} \) and is largely time independent. This scale length is to be expected, as it is of a similar order of the laser spot diameter (\( \sim 800 \ \mu \text{m} \)), and it is the laser which imposes the temperature gradient.

The data are converted to normalized quantities for the sake of comparison with theoretical predictions. The magnetic field, as measured by proton radiography, is normalized to the plasma pressure measured by electron plasma wave Thomson scattering. The temperature gradient length scale is normalized to the ion inertial length, both measured by Thomson scattering. The proton radiography measurements of peak magnetic field do not happen exactly when and where the Thomson scattering measurements are taken. To make the analysis simulation agnostic, a simple model was constructed to extrapolate the Thomson measurements away from the probe locations. For each data point in normalized quantity space, the location and measurement time of the peak magnetic field are extracted from proton radiography. The Thomson scattering data are interpolated at that location and time using a model of the plasma bubble evolution. The model uses the bubble velocity as observed in proton radiography to self-consistently relate the arrival time of Thomson data features at the Thomson probe location to radiography locations.

The model is as follows:

\[
 n(r, t) = n_{TS} \left( 1 - \frac{r - r_{TS}}{v_b} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} \right)^{\gamma_n},
\]

\[
 T(r, t) = T_{TS} \left( 1 - \frac{r - r_{TS}}{v_b} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} \right)^{\gamma_T},
\]

where the parameters are the bubble velocity \( v_b \), the exponent relating density measurements at different points \( \gamma_n \), and the exponent relating temperature measurements at different points \( \gamma_T \). The position of the Thomson volume is \( r_{TS} \). Because the Thomson data were collected at multiple locations, the exponents \( \gamma_n \) and \( \gamma_T \) are found by applying the model to the data of one location to transform it to the other location and tuning the exponent until both datasets match. The values of \( v_b \) are found to be \( \sim 300 \) and 1200 km/s from proton radiography data for the 1 and 2 TW laser power configurations, respectively. The exponents \( \gamma_n \) and \( \gamma_T \) are found to be 1.3 and 1.5 regardless of laser power. See Supplemental Material for further discussion of this model [40].

Figure 6 shows the normalized spontaneous magnetic field (\( B/\sqrt{\mu_0 P_e} = \beta^{-1/2} \)) as a function of the normalized temperature scale length (\( L_T/d_i \)) with a fit to a power law. It is shown that the Biermann field scales as \( \beta^{-1/2} \sim (L_T/d_i)^{-0.71 \pm 0.47} \), in the regime with \( L_T/d_i \sim 5-20 \). Given the uncertainty in the exponent of \( \pm 0.47 \), this value is consistent with the scaling predicted by theory [Eq. (2)] and particle-in-cell simulations [18,19], quantitatively demonstrating the saturation dynamics and scaling of the spontaneous magnetic field due to
plasma convection in a high-thermal-β, laser-produced HED plasma.

That the measured exponent (~0.71 ± 0.47) deviates from unity in this set of experiments is attributed to the plasma being in a transition region between different regimes (from convective to kinetic), as shown in Fig. 1 with \( L_T/d_i \sim 5\)–20. In this transition regime, magnetic fields are generated by both large-scale Biermann effects and small-scale kinetic instabilities, such as electron Weibel instability [18,19], and consequently, the scaling is some combination of the Biermann-convective saturation \( (B \propto L_T^{-1}) \) and the saturated Weibel scaling \( (B \propto L_T^{0.5}) \).

In summary, we have performed experiments to quantitatively demonstrate the scaling of the spontaneous large-scale Biermann magnetic fields in laser-produced HED plasmas. We have shown that, in the regime, with normalized scale length \( L_T/d_i > 1 \), the observed saturation scaling is consistent with \( B \sim 1/L_T \), the scaling which predictions by theoretical modeling and particle-in-cell simulations show would result from the balancing of Biermann fields by fluid convection. In this letter, we provide confirmation of the physical insight into the fundamental physics of magnetic field saturation in plasmas and motivate further study of field saturation in broader regimes, such as turbulence/resistively dominated regimes with \( L_T/d_i \lesssim 1 \) and kinetic regimes with \( L_T/d_i \gg 1 \) where other magnetic field generation mechanisms can play a role.
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